

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/634,004 | 08/04/2003 | Jerry A. Pickering | 10315 | 5036 |
| 75 | 90 01/13/2005 | • | EXAMINER | |
| James A. Cairns | | | CHAPMAN, MARK A | |
| Heidelberg Digital L.L.C. 2600 Manitou Road | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Rochester, NY 14624 | | | 1756 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Anti-en Comment | 10/634,004 | PICKERING ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Mark A. Chapman | 1756 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status / | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-8 | 2-04. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | nce except for formal matters, pro | osecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under the | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application | ı . | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | · | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: | a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected | to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second of the secon | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies flot receive | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | n □ 1 | (DTO 442) | | | | |
| 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/634,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-58 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-56 of copending Application No. 10/636,470. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the same relationship of elastomer layer hardness is taught in similar fuser members and applications.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,004 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

4. Claims 1-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (6,312,817) in view of Henry (5,530,536). Chen teaches a fuser member where a elastomer cushion layer and a surface layer contain filled polydimethylsiloxanes (examples and claims). Chen suggests that the layers have a similar hardness (col. 3 lines 43-57). Chen does not teach the specific hardness of the elastomer layers. Henry teaches similar fuser member containing silicone elastomer (col. 6) where a specific hardness is disclosed (claim 9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the polydimethylsiloxanes elastomer layers of Chen would be of a desired hardness because of the direct suggestion of Henry and the known performance of fuser members of the prior art would dictate a predictable hardness for similar performance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Chapman whose telephone number is 571-272-1381. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,004

Art Unit: 1756

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark A. Chapman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

MC